arXiv:hep-th/9903170v1 19 Mar 1999

March 1999

T-Duality for Coset Models

A. Stern

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Univ. of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Al 35487, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

We construct dual Lagrangians for G/H models in two space-time dimensions for arbitrary Lie groups G and $H \subset G$. Our approach does not require choosing coordinates on G/H, and allows for a natural generalization to Lie-Poisson duality. For the case where the target metric on G/H is induced from the invariant metric on G, the dual system is a gauged Higgs model, with a nonconstant metric and a coupling to an antisymmetric tensor. The dynamics for the gauge connection is governed by a BF-term. Lie-Poisson duality is relevant once we allow for a more general class of target metrics, as well as for couplings to an antisymmetric tensor, in the primary theory. Then the dual theory is written on a group $\tilde{G}$ dual to G, and the gauge group H (which, in general, is not a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$) acts nonlinearly on $\tilde{G}$. The dual system therefore gives a nonlinear realization of a gauge theory. All dual descriptions are shown to be canonically equivalent to the corresponding primary descriptions, at least at the level of the current algebra.

1 Introduction

Cosets models have been around for a long time. There are many well known examples, including the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model, the $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ chiral model and CP^{n-1} model. The standard Lagrangian L for a G/H model contains terms quadratic in velocities, and can be written on the tangent manifold TG of G. It is invariant under local transformations by $H \subset G$, and also possibly global transformations by G. Our interest here concerns the question of possible dual Lagrangians. Motivated by developments in string theory, techniques have been devised for finding dual Lagrangian descriptions of field theories in two space-time dimensions. Here we refer more specifically to the techniques of nonabelian T-duality, as they can be applied to G/H models.[1] In the original approach, one makes a choice of coordinates on the manifold, whereby isometry directions are distinguished from non-isometry directions, and then introduces a gauge connection for every isometry. This then means that various coset manifolds must be analyzed separately, and more often than not, the analysis may be quite formidable. It therefore seems safe to say that following such an approach, the general structure of the dual theory for G/H models is not very transparent.

In this article, we instead write down a dual Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ for G/H models without relying on coordinate charts on G/H, and we check that it leads to the same dynamics as the standard Lagrangian. The result applies for arbitrary Lie groups G and H, and furthermore, we are able to do this for a variety of target space metrics. We show that at the level of currents, the dual descriptions are canonically equivalent to the standard ones. Our approach differs from previous ones[2] which realize coset models as gauged Wess-Zumino-Witten models[3]. It is more closely related to the treatments in refs. [4], [5] and [6], which are based on Lie-Poisson T-duality.[7]

We now summarize some of the features of the dual Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$. While the standard Lagrangian L, which we also refer to as the primary Lagrangian, can be written without the use of gauge connections or topological terms, connections, as well as topological terms, enter in the dual description. In $\tilde{L}$ the dynamics of gauge fields is governed by a BF term. To illustrate some of the structures of the dual theory, we first consider the example of $G = SU(2)$ and $H = U(1)$, where one recovers the familiar dynamics of the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model. Its dual theory can be written in terms of a scalar doublet coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field, whose field strength is proportional to the instanton number. The scalars fields are also coupled to a nonconstant metric and an antisymmetric tensor. It is convenient to also introduce an auxiliary scalar field in the dual description, which then allows for a local expression for the metric. The auxiliary field couples to the $U(1)$ connection, as well. By choosing a gauge and eliminating the auxiliary variables it should be possible to recover all previous expressions for the dual Lagrangian. If in $\tilde{L}$ one introduces the a kinetic energy term for the gauge field, this corresponds to adding a higher order term in the primary Lagrangian L. There is some recent interest in such theories in connection with knot solitons.[8]

For the case of arbitrary G and H, with the constant group metric appearing in the primary Lagrangian L, the dual system is a gauged Higgs theory with a nonconstant metric and coupling to an antisymmetric tensor. Now there are $dim[G/H]$ scalar fields, as well as $dim[H]$ auxiliary fields, coupled to $dim[H]$ gauge connections. Once we drop the restriction of constant target metrics in the primary theory, as well as allow for a coupling an antisymmetric tensor, a more interesting structure emerges in the dual theory. The latter is now written on a group $\tilde{G}$ dual to G (in the Lie-Poisson sense), and the gauge group H (which, in general, is not a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$) has a nonlinear action on $\tilde{G}$. As a result, one ends up expressing the dual Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ in terms of nonlinear covariant derivatives on $\tilde{G}$, and the gauge symmetry is not immediately obvious. But our construction of $\tilde{L}$, starting from the Hamiltonian formalism, ensures gauge invariance, and thus provides a technique for constructing nonlinear realizations of gauge theories.

In section 2, we review the standard Lagrangian description of G/H models, and then specialize to the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model in section 3. There, we construct the dual theory, and demonstrate that the role of identities and equations of motion are interchanged in the two formulations. The analysis is generalized to the case of arbitrary G and H in section 4. In section 5, we construct the corresponding Hamiltonian descriptions of the two theories and demonstrate that, at least locally, the two theories are canonically equivalent. We also show that these theories are canonically equivalent to an equivalence class of Kac-Moody algebras associated with the cotangent bundle group T^*G. In section 6, following [6],[9], we generalize to Kac-Moody algebras associated with the Drinfeld double group [10]. This leads to Lie-Poisson T-duality, [7] and allows for nonconstant metrics and antisymmetric tensors in both the primary and dual descriptions.

2 Standard Lagrangian Description

We now introduce our notation, and review the standard Lagrangian description of G/H models.

Say G and $H \subset G$ are N and $N-M$ dimensional groups, respectively, with the former generated by e_i, $i = 1, 2, ..N$, and having commutation relations:

$$[e_i, e_j] = c^k_{ij} e_k \ . \tag{2.1}$$

We can split the generators into e_a, $a = 1, 2, ...M$ and $\hat{e}_\alpha = e_{M+\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, ...N-M$, the latter generating H,

$$[\hat{e}_\alpha, \hat{e}_\beta] = \hat{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \hat{e}_\gamma \ , \qquad \hat{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = c^{M+\gamma}_{M+\alpha \ M+\beta} \ . \tag{2.2}$$

We will assume that the metric $\mathtt{g}_{ij}$ on G is nondegenerate and block diagonal, i.e.

$$\mathtt{g}_{a \ M+\alpha} = 0 \ . \tag{2.3}$$

The structure constants satisfy

$$c^{c}_{M+\alpha\; M+\beta} = 0 \;, \qquad c^{M+\gamma}_{M+\alpha\; b} = 0 \;. \tag{2.4}$$

The second relation in (2.4) follows from the first, using (2.3) and the invariance property $c^i_{jk}\mathsf{g}_{i\ell} = \mathsf{g}_{ji}c^i_{k\ell}$.

We can now give the expression for the primary Lagrangian density L. We take the fundamental fields $g(x)$ to have values in G. L is a quadratic function of the velocity components $(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a$, where $\mu = 0, 1$ is the space time index and $g^{-1}\partial_\mu g = (g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^i e_i$. Utilizing the group metric g_{ij} projected onto G/H, and following[11],[12], we write

$$L = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\mathsf{g}_{ab}(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a (g^{-1}\partial^\mu g)^b \;, \tag{2.5}$$

where $a, b = 1, 2, ...M$ and κ is an arbitrary constant. L is gauge invariant under

$$g(x) \rightarrow g(x)h(x) \;, \quad h(x) \in H \;, \tag{2.6}$$

and consequently defines a theory on G/H. L is also invariant under global transformations

$$g \rightarrow g_0 g \;, \qquad g_0 \in G \;. \tag{2.7}$$

For the case $G = SU(2)$ and $H = U(1)$ we obtain the dynamics of the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model. $G = SU(n) \times SU(n)$ and $H = SU(n)_{diagonal}$ yields the $G = SU(n) \times SU(n)$ chiral model, while $G = U(n)$ and $H = U(n-1) \times U(1)$ corresponds to the CP^{n-1} model. In sections 3-5, we shall discuss the dual description of all such models in two space-time dimensions.

In section 6, we obtain the dual for the more general system obtained by replacing g_{ab} in (2.5) by a nonconstant metric $\gamma(g)_{ab}$. We further consider the addition of a term with a coupling to an antisymmetric tensor $\rho(g)_{ab}$. The primary Lagrangian then has the form

$$L = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\gamma(g)_{ab}(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a (g^{-1}\partial^\mu g)^b - \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\rho(g)_{ab}(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a (g^{-1}\partial_\nu g)^b \;. \tag{2.8}$$

Now gauge invariance under (2.6) follows only for certain metrics $\gamma(g)_{ab}$ and antisymmetric tensors $\rho(g)_{ab}$, i.e. those which gauge transform under the adjoint action of H,

$$\gamma(gh)_{ab} = a(h)_a{}^c \; a(h)_b{}^d \; \gamma(g)_{cd} \;, \tag{2.9}$$

where $he_a h^{-1} = a(g)_a{}^b e_b$, and we have the same transformation property for $\rho(g)$. Thus the target space is again G/H. On the other hand, the global symmetry (2.7) is broken. Starting with the Hamiltonian formalism, we shall obtain a class of metrics and antisymmetric tensors consistent with the gauge symmetry, and for every such metric and antisymmetric tensor there is a corresponding dual Lagrangian description.

3 $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model

3.1 Primary Formulation

The simplest nontrivial example of the above system is the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model. The target space in this case is S^2. Thus we can introduce the fields $\psi^i(x)$, $i = 1,2,3$, satisfying the constraint $\psi^i(x)\psi^i(x) = 1$. The standard Lagrangian density for the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model is

$$L = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\partial_\mu\psi^i\partial^\mu\psi^i \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Alternatively, we can rewrite the Lagrangian according to (2.5), with $G = SU(2)$ and $H = U(1)$. For this we first realize the constraint by writing

$$\psi^i(x)e_i = g(x)e_3 g(x)^{-1} \ , \tag{3.2}$$

$g(x)$ being $SU(2)$-valued fields and e_i being $SU(2)$ generators. Since ψ^i are invariant under

$$g(x) \rightarrow g(x)e^{\lambda(x)e_3} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

we have thus introduced a $U(1)$ gauge symmetry, the generator being $\hat{e}_1 = e_3$. The structure constants and invariant metric for $SU(2)$) are $c^k_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}$ and $\mathtt{g}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. It is not hard to show[11],[12] that the Lagrangian density (3.1) may be reexpressed according to

$$L = -\frac{\kappa}{2}(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a(g^{-1}\partial^\mu g)^a \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where $a = 1,2$. The Lagrangian, like ψ^i, is invariant under (3.3), and the physical degrees of freedom therefore span $SU(2)/U(1) \simeq S^2$.

The equations of motion resulting from variations of g imply the existence of conserved currents $\partial_\mu J^{i\mu} = 0$, where

$$J^{i\mu} = [a(g)_a{}^i(g^{-1}\partial^\mu g)^a] \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where $a(g)$ denotes the adjoint matrix representation of g, $ge_ig^{-1} = a(g)_i{}^j e_j$. Due to the gauge symmetry there are only two equations in (3.5). To see this, let us rewrite the equations in terms of a new set of variables π^a_μ and A_μ:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^a_\mu &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(g^{-1}\partial^\nu g)^a \ , & (3.6)\\ A_\mu &= (g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^3 \ . & (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

A_μ transforms as a $U(1)$ connection under (3.3), while π^a_μ undergo a rotation in the internal space. We can define the covariant derivative for the latter variables according to

$$(D_\mu\pi_\nu)^a = \partial_\mu\pi^a_\nu - \epsilon_{ab}A_\mu\pi^b_\nu \ , \tag{3.8}$$

where $\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{ab3}$. Now by using $\partial_\mu a(g)_i{}^j = \epsilon_{ik\ell}\, a(g)_k{}^j(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^\ell$, we see that the equations of motion state that the covariant curl of π^a is zero:

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\pi_\nu)^a = 0 \ . \tag{3.9}$$

In addition to the equations of motion (3.9), we have a set of three Maurer-Cartan equations. In terms of A_μ and π^a_μ, these three identities can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}(D^\mu\pi_\mu)^a &= 0 \,, \qquad (3.10)\\ F &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\pi^a_\mu\pi^b_\nu \,, \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

F being the $U(1)$ curvature, $F = \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu$. When expressed in terms of the fields on S^2, it is given by

$$F = 4\pi\left(-\frac{1}{8\pi}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\psi^i\partial_\mu\psi^j\partial_\nu\psi^k\right) \,, \qquad (3.12)$$

the quantity in parenthesis being the instanton number density.

In summary, the dynamical system is determined by the equations of motion (3.9), as well as identities (3.10) and (3.11). We note that there are not an equal number of equations of motion and identities. We next present a dual formulation of the above system, where eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) are equations of motion and eq. (3.9) follows from an identity (evaluated on-shell).

3.2 Dual Formulation

The dual Lagrangian $\tilde{L}$ will be expressed in terms of three unconstrained scalar fields θ and χ_a, $a = 1, 2$, and a $U(1)$ connection A_μ. θ ends up playing the role of an auxiliary variable. Before considering the full system, we first examine a Lagrangian $\tilde{L}_0$ depending only on χ_a and A_μ:

$$\tilde{L}_0 = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D^\mu\chi)_a - \frac{\beta}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D_\nu\chi)_b \,, \qquad (3.13)$$

where the covariant derivative $(D_\mu\chi)_a$ is defined by $(D_\mu\chi)_a = \partial_\mu\chi_a - \epsilon_{ab}\chi_b A_\mu$. $\tilde{L}_0$ is gauge invariant under the following infinitesimal variations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\chi_a &= \lambda\epsilon_{ab}\chi_b\\ \delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu\lambda \,, \qquad (3.14)\end{aligned}$$

where here we take λ to be infinitesimal. $\alpha\delta_{ab}$ and $\beta\epsilon_{ab}$ represent the dual metric and antisymmetric tensor, respectively. Upon assuming for the moment that α and β are constants, we get (3.10) as the equations of motion associated with variations of χ_a, provided we now define π^a_μ (up to an overall constant) by

$$\pi^a_\mu = -\alpha(D_\mu\chi)_a - \beta\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{ab}(D^\nu\chi)_b \,. \qquad (3.15)$$

This definition leads to the identity

$$\epsilon_{ab}(D^\mu\chi)_a\pi^b_\mu = 0 \,. \qquad (3.16)$$

Using the equations of motion (3.10), this can be rewritten as $\epsilon_{ab}\partial^\mu(\chi_a\pi^b_\mu) = 0$, which is locally solved by writing

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu\theta = \epsilon_{ab}\chi_a\pi^b_\mu \,. \qquad (3.17)$$

We use this equation to define the scalar field θ, which we now promote to a degree of freedom to be varied in the action. (More precisely, as stated earlier, it will play the role of an auxiliary variable.) This will allow us to recover eq. (3.17), as well as (3.11) from an action principle, through variations of A_μ and θ, respectively. The Lagrangian $\tilde{L}_0$ is, however, insufficient for this purpose, as we shall need to add a BF or instanton term. Furthermore, α and β now need to be functions of θ. [This won't spoil the result of (3.10) for the equations of motion.] The total Lagrangian is then

$$\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\theta A_\nu \ . \tag{3.18}$$

θ is assumed to be gauge invariant. Then, although $\tilde{L}$ is then no longer gauge invariant under (3.14), the corresponding action integral is. Now it easily follows that variations with respect to A_μ lead to (3.17). Since the latter is associated with an identity, there are no true dynamical degrees of freedom in A_μ. Variations with respect to θ lead to

$$F = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D^\mu\chi)_a - \frac{\beta'}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D_\nu\chi)_b \ , \tag{3.19}$$

the prime indicating a derivative with respect to θ. Using (3.15), this agrees with (3.11) provided that

$$\alpha' = 2\alpha\beta \ , \qquad \beta' = \beta^2 - \alpha^2 \ . \tag{3.20}$$

These equations are solved by

$$\alpha = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa^2+\theta^2} \ , \qquad \beta = -\frac{\theta}{\kappa^2+\theta^2} \ , \tag{3.21}$$

κ again denoting an arbitrary constant. From the Hamiltonian analysis (see section 5), we find that this constant can be identified with the constant κ appearing in the primary Lagrangian. It remains to obtain (3.9). It follows from another useful identity. For this let us invert (3.15), using (3.21), to solve for $(D_\mu\chi)_a$:

$$(D_\mu\chi)_a = -\kappa\pi^a_\mu - \theta\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{ab}\pi^{\nu b} \ . \tag{3.22}$$

Now take the covariant curl to get

$$\kappa\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\pi_\nu)^a = \epsilon_{ab}\Big(F\chi_b - \partial^\mu\theta\pi^b_\mu - \theta(D_\mu\pi^\mu)^b\Big) \ . \tag{3.23}$$

The right hand side is seen to vanish upon imposing the equations of motion (3.10), (3.11) and (3.17), and hence we recover the equation of motion of the primary formulation (3.9).

We thus have obtained all the dynamical equations of the primary formulation. We note that while the coefficient κ of the kinetic energy term in the standard description is a constant, the analogue in the dual description goes like $(\kappa+\theta^2/\kappa)^{-1}$. (A nonlocal expression for the latter results if we use (3.17) to eliminate θ.) This relation between coefficients resembles the $R \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{R}$ correspondence in Abelian T-duality.[13] Concerning symmetries, while the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is obvious in the dual description, the global $SU(2)$ symmetry (2.7) is not. In the dual theory, the latter can only be defined nonlocally. It should now be possible to recover all previous expressions for the dual Lagrangian by choosing the appropriate gauge and eliminating the auxiliary variable θ.

3.3 Higher Order Terms

It is easy to consider the possibility of adding higher order terms to the primary Lagrangian (2.5) or (3.1). For example, we can add the quartic term

$$L_{quar} = \frac{\xi}{2} F^2 \ , \tag{3.24}$$

where ξ is a constant and F is defined in terms of $SU(2)$ group elements g through (3.2) and (3.12). Then the equation of motion (3.9) is replaced by

$$\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (D_\mu \pi_\nu)^a = -\xi \epsilon_{ab} \partial^\mu F \pi^b_\mu \ . \tag{3.25}$$

The identities (3.10) and (3.11) are, of course, unchanged. One adds precisely the same term (3.24) to the dual Lagrangian (3.18), only there A_μ is treated as an independent degree of freedom. Thus a kinetic energy is now associated with A_μ. Its variations leads to

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu (\theta + \xi F) = \epsilon_{ab} \chi_a \pi^b_\mu \ , \tag{3.26}$$

replacing (3.17). None of the other equations of motion for the dual system are affected. Upon substituting (3.26), as well as (3.10) and (3.11), into the identity (3.23), we once again get (3.25).

4 General Coset Models

Here we find the dual Lagrangian description associated with the primary Lagrangian (2.5), for the case of arbitrary Lie groups G and $H \subset G$.

4.1 Primary Formulation

We begin with the primary Lagrangian (2.5). There are now M equations of motion resulting from variations of g, and they once again can be written as (3.9). For this, π^a_μ is again defined as in (3.6), while

$$A^\alpha_\mu = (g^{-1} \partial_\mu g)^{M+\alpha} \ . \tag{4.1}$$

A^α_μ transforms as an H connection under (2.6). The covariant derivative is now defined by

$$(D_\mu \pi_\nu)^a = \partial_\mu \pi^a_\nu + c^a_{M+\beta \ c} A^\beta_\mu \pi^c_\nu \ . \tag{4.2}$$

In addition to the equations of motion (3.9), we have the generalization of the identities (3.10) and (3.11) corresponding to N Maurer-Cartan equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (D^\mu \pi_\mu)^a &= \frac{1}{2} c^a_{bc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \pi^b_\mu \pi^c_\nu \ , &(4.3)\\ F^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} c^{M+\alpha}_{bc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \pi^b_\mu \pi^c_\nu \ . &(4.4) \end{aligned}$$

Now, in general, the covariant divergence of π^a_μ need not vanish. F^α is the H curvature,

$$F^\alpha = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu A^\alpha_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \hat{c}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} A^\beta_\mu A^\gamma_\nu) \ . \tag{4.5}$$

4.2 Dual Formulation

For the dual theory, we introduce N scalar fields, χ_a and θ_α, along with the Yang-Mills connection one form A^α, which undergo gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\chi_a &= c^{b}_{M+\alpha\ a}\lambda^\alpha\chi_b && (4.6)\\
\delta\theta_\alpha &= \hat{c}^{\gamma}_{\beta\alpha}\lambda^\beta\theta_\gamma && (4.7)\\
\delta A^\alpha &= d\lambda^\alpha + \hat{c}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}A^\beta\lambda^\gamma\ , && (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$\lambda = \lambda^\alpha\hat{e}_\alpha$ being an infinitesimal element of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of H. Upon defining the covariant derivative of χ_a according to $(D\chi)_a = d\chi_a + c^{b}_{a\ M+\alpha}A^\alpha\chi_b$, we can construct the following Lagrangian density:

$$\tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D^\mu\chi)_b - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\beta^{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_a(D_\nu\chi)_b - \theta_\alpha F^\alpha\ , \tag{4.9}$$

generalizing (3.18). Now the dual metric α^{ab} and the antisymmetric tensor β^{ab} are functions of χ_a and θ_α. Neither α^{ab} nor β^{ab} are gauge invariant. Gauge invariance demands that α^{ab} and β^{ab} transform, respectively, as symmetric and antisymmetric second rank tensors. The form for $\tilde{L}$, including the BF-term, is similar to previous expressions in the literature.[1] Here we are able to write down α^{ab} and β^{ab} without having to specify coordinates on the original target manifold G/H.

To determine the coefficients α^{ab} and β^{ab} we demand that the equations of motion resulting from variations in the scalar fields are (4.3) and (4.4). Variations of χ_f give the former equation provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\alpha^{ab}}{\partial\chi_f} &= c^{f}_{dc}(\beta^{da}\alpha^{cb} - \alpha^{da}\beta^{cb})\\
\frac{\partial\beta^{ab}}{\partial\chi_f} &= c^{f}_{dc}(\beta^{da}\beta^{cb} - \alpha^{da}\alpha^{cb})\ , && (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

while variations of θ_α give the latter equation provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\alpha^{ab}}{\partial\theta_\alpha} &= c^{M+\alpha}_{dc}(\beta^{da}\alpha^{cb} - \alpha^{da}\beta^{cb})\\
\frac{\partial\beta^{ab}}{\partial\theta_\alpha} &= c^{M+\alpha}_{dc}(\beta^{da}\beta^{cb} - \alpha^{da}\alpha^{cb})\ . && (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

π^a_μ is now defined by

$$\pi^a_\mu = -\alpha^{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_b - \beta^{ab}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}(D^\nu\chi)_b\ . \tag{4.12}$$

To write down solutions to eqs. (4.10) and (4.11) we introduce a matrix $\tilde{f}$ with matrix elements which are linear functions of θ_α and χ_a,

$$\tilde{f}(\theta,\chi)_{ij} = c^{c}_{ij}\chi_c + c^{M+\alpha}_{ij}\theta_\alpha\ . \tag{4.13}$$

Then a little work shows that

$$\alpha = \left(\kappa\underline{\mathrm{g}} - \frac{1}{\kappa}\underline{\tilde{f}\mathrm{g}}^{-1}\underline{\tilde{f}}\right)^{-1}, \qquad \beta = -\frac{1}{\kappa}\underline{\mathrm{g}}^{-1}\underline{\tilde{f}}\alpha\ , \tag{4.14}$$

which generalizes the solution (3.21) found for the coefficients of the dual nonlinear σ-model. The underline indicates the submatrix obtained by projecting onto G/H. Thus the matrix $\underline{\mathrm{g}}$ consists only of matrix elements $\underline{\mathrm{g}}_{ab} = \mathrm{g}_{ab}$, $a, b = 1, 2, ...M$. As before, κ is an arbitrary constant, which we shall identify with the coefficient κ appearing in the primary Lagrangian. The matrix α in (4.14) is symmetric by inspection, while antisymmetry for β follows after using the identity

$$\underline{\tilde{f}}\alpha\underline{\mathrm{g}} = \underline{\mathrm{g}}\alpha\underline{\tilde{f}}\ . \tag{4.15}$$

The solution (4.14) easily reduces to (3.21) when $G = SU(2)$ and $H = U(1)$, and we thus recover the dual formulation of the nonlinear σ-model.

As in the dual formulation of the nonlinear σ-model, the connections are nondynamical degree of freedoms and their variations do not lead additional conditions. Varying A^α_μ in (4.9) gives

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(D_\nu\theta)_\alpha = c^c_{b\ M+\alpha}\chi_c\pi^{\mu b}\ , \tag{4.16}$$

generalizing (3.17). Here $(D_\mu\theta)_\alpha = \partial_\mu\theta_\alpha + \hat{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}A^\beta_\mu\theta_\gamma$. By taking the covariant divergence of both sides of (4.16) one gets

$$\hat{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}F^\beta\theta_\gamma = c^c_{b\ M+\alpha}(D_\mu\chi)_c\pi^{\mu b} + c^c_{b\ M+\alpha}\chi_c(D_\mu\pi^\mu)^b\ . \tag{4.17}$$

Now applying the equations of motion (4.3) and (4.4), this becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}c^i_{ab}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\pi^a_\mu\pi^b_\nu\ \tilde{f}_{M+\alpha\ i} = c^c_{b\ M+\alpha}(D_\mu\chi)_c\pi^{\mu b}\ . \tag{4.18}$$

Eq. (4.18) generalizes (3.16), the latter being an identity for the dual $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model. It is not too hard to show that eq. (4.18) is also an identity. The procedure is the same as before. One inverts (4.12), solving for $(D_\mu\chi)_a$ using the solutions (4.14) found for the coefficients:

$$(D_\mu\chi)_a = -\kappa\mathrm{g}_{ab}\pi^b_\mu - \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\tilde{f}_{ab}\pi^{\nu b}\ , \tag{4.19}$$

and then substitutes into the right hand side of (4.18).

Finally, if we take the covariant curl of (4.19) we get another identity

$$\kappa\mathrm{g}_{ab}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu\pi_\nu)^b = c^b_{M+\alpha\ a}F^\alpha\chi_b - \tilde{f}_{ab}(D_\mu\pi^\mu)^b - \Big(c^c_{ab}(D_\mu\chi)_c + c^{M+\alpha}_{ab}(D_\mu\theta)_\alpha\Big)\pi^{\mu b}\ , \tag{4.20}$$

which generalizes (3.23). The right hand side of this equation vanishes upon applying (4.16), (4.3) and (4.4) and hence we recover the equations of motion (3.9) of the standard formalism. We thereby recover all the dynamical equations of the standard formalism.

5 Hamiltonian descriptions

In this section, we note that the Hamiltonian descriptions for the primary formulation and dual formulation of G/H models are, at least locally, canonically equivalent.

5.1 Primary Formulation

The Hamiltonian description corresponding to the primary Lagrangian is written on an equivalence class of the loop group LT^*G of the cotangent bundle T^*G. To describe it we can introduce a $2N$ dimensional phase space, spanned by the fields $g(x)$ and $K_i(x)$, the latter generating, for example, right translations on G, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\{K_i(x), g(y)\} &= -g(x)e_i\delta(x-y)\\ \{K_i(x), K_j(y)\} &= -c^k_{ij}K_k(x)\delta(x-y)\ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

As usual, we assume the Poisson brackets between two group elements vanishes. The physical subspace is $2M$ dimensional, and it is obtained after moding out gauge transformations generated by the $N-M$ first class constraints

$$K_{M+\alpha} \approx 0\ . \tag{5.2}$$

Up to Lagrange multiplier terms involving the constraints (5.2), the canonical Hamiltonian can be written

$$\mathtt{H}(g, K^i) = \frac{1}{2}\int dx\biggl(\kappa \mathsf{g}_{ab}(g^{-1}\partial_1 g)^a(g^{-1}\partial_1 g)^b \ +\ \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathsf{g}^{ab}K_aK_b\biggr)\ , \tag{5.3}$$

where $\mathsf{g}^{ab}\mathsf{g}_{bc} = \delta^a_c$. The Hamiltonian (5.3) and constraints (5.2) define the primary Hamiltonian description.

5.2 Dual Formulation

In the dual description, we start with a $6N-4M$ dimensional phase space spanned by χ_a, θ_α, A^α_μ and their corresponding conjugate momenta. $\pi^a = \pi^{0a}$, as defined in (4.12), are the momenta conjugate to χ_a. If we integrate by parts the topological term in (4.9), we get that the momenta $\pi^{M+\alpha}$ conjugate to θ_α are constrained by

$$\pi^{M+\alpha} - A^\alpha_1 \approx 0\ , \tag{5.4}$$

and there are $2(N-M)$ additional primary constraints stating that the momenta Π^μ_α conjugate to A^α_μ vanish,

$$\Pi^\mu_\alpha \approx 0\ . \tag{5.5}$$

In addition, there are $N-M$ secondary constraints of the form

$$(D_1\theta)_\alpha + c^c_{M+\alpha\ b}\pi^b\chi_c \approx 0\ . \tag{5.6}$$

They are analogous to the Gauss law constraints. Up to the constraints, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathtt{H}} &= \frac{1}{2}\int dx\; \alpha^{ab}\Big((D_0\chi)_a(D_0\chi)_b + (D_1\chi)_a(D_1\chi)_b\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int dx\Big(\kappa \mathrm{g}_{ab}\pi^a\pi^b + \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{g}^{ab}\;[(D_1\chi)_a + \tilde{f}_{ac}\pi^c]\;[(D_1\chi)_b + \tilde{f}_{bd}\pi^d]\Big)\;. \end{aligned} \qquad (5.7)$$

To write the second line, we used the identity

$$\kappa\underline{\mathrm{g}} + \underline{\tilde{f}}\alpha\underline{\tilde{f}} = \kappa^2\underline{\mathrm{g}}\alpha\underline{\mathrm{g}}\;, \qquad (5.8)$$

which follows from (4.14).

To summarize, we get $6N-4M$ phase space variables subject to $4(N-M)$ constraints. The constraints can be evenly divided into a first class and second class set. For the latter, we take (5.4) and $\Pi^1_\alpha \approx 0$. To implement the second class constraints we can go to a $4N-2M$ dimensional reduced phase space spanned by Dirac variables. A choice for Dirac variables is: A^α_0, Π^0_α, χ_i and π^i, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$, where we define

$$\chi_{M+\alpha} = \theta_\alpha - \Pi^1_\alpha\;. \qquad (5.9)$$

The variables A^α_0 and Π^0_α are nondynamical and can be eliminated. This is because $\Pi^0_\alpha \approx 0$ are first class, and A^α_0 do not appear in the Hamiltonian. On the reduced phase space the latter is expressed by

$$\tilde{\mathtt{H}}(\chi_i,\pi_i) = \frac{1}{2}\int dx\Big(\kappa\mathrm{g}_{ab}\pi^a\pi^b + \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{g}^{ab}\;[\partial_1\chi_a + c^j_{ai}\pi^i\chi_j]\;[\partial_1\chi_b + c^\ell_{bk}\pi^k\chi_\ell]\Big)\;. \qquad (5.10)$$

The remaining $2N$ variables χ_i and π^i are canonically conjugate, their equal time Poisson brackets being

$$\{\chi_i(x), \pi^j(y)\} = \delta^j_i\,\delta(x-y)\;. \qquad (5.11)$$

They are subject to the remaining constraints (5.6), which can be expressed by

$$\phi_\alpha = \partial_1\chi_{M+\alpha} + c^j_{M+\alpha\; i}\pi^i\chi_j \approx 0\;. \qquad (5.12)$$

They are first class since

$$\{\phi_\alpha(x), \phi_\beta(y)\} = -\hat{c}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\phi_\gamma(x)\delta(x-y)\;. \qquad (5.13)$$

Thus as in the primary Hamiltonian description, the resulting physical subspace is $2M$ dimensional. It is obtained after moding out gauge transformations, now generated by (5.12), from the space spanned by χ_i and π^i.

It is now evident that the two dual Hamiltonian descriptions for coset models are canonically equivalent, at least locally. The Hamiltonian (5.10) and constraints (5.12) are obtained from (5.3) and (5.2) using the canonical transformation[6] :

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &\rightarrow \partial_1\chi_i + c^k_{ij}\pi^j\chi_k && (5.14)\\ (g^{-1}\partial_1 g)^i &\rightarrow \pi^i\;. && (5.15)\end{aligned}$$

This is the same canonical transformation that has been used to relate the standard description of the $SU(2)$ Principal Chiral Model with its dual description,[14] and it is a special case of the canonical transformation linking theories which are Lie-Poisson dual.[9],[6] We consider the more general class of canonical transformations in the next section. Concerning (5.14), using the canonical Poisson brackets (5.11), we can easily show that the right hand side generates the group G. Concerning (5.15), we get that the canonical momenta π^a in the dual theory are identified with π^{0a} defined by (3.6) in the primary formulation. Similarly, from (5.4) $\pi^{M+\alpha}$ gets identified with A_1^α as defined by (4.1) in the primary formulation.

5.3 Current Algebra

The Poisson algebra of currents for the two theories can be reexpressed in terms of an equivalence class of Kac-Moody algebras associated with the cotangent bundle group T^*G. The latter is generated by e_i, along with another N generators e^i , which have commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [e^i, e_j] &= c^i_{jk} e^k \\ [e^i, e^j] &= 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We can introduce a nondegenerate invariant scalar product on the Lie algebra spanned e^i and e_i:

$$< e^i | e_j >= \delta^i_j \,, \qquad < e^i | e^j >=< e_i | e_j >= 0 \,.$$

In terms of the phase space variables K_i and g of the primary Hamiltonian description, we now define the current

$$v = K_i e^i + g^{-1}\partial_1 g \,. \tag{5.17}$$

Its resulting Poisson algebra is the central extension of the loop group of T^*G:

$$\{ \underset{1}{v(x)} \,, \underset{2}{v(y)} \} = -[C, \underset{1}{v(x)}]\delta(x-y) + C\partial_x \delta(x-y) \,, \tag{5.18}$$

where we use tensor product notation, the 1 and 2 labels referring to two separate vector spaces, with $\underset{1}{v(x)} = v(x) \otimes 1\!\!1$, $\underset{2}{v(y)} = 1\!\!1 \otimes v(y)$, and $1\!\!1$ being the unit operator. C in (5.18) is a constant adjoint invariant tensor defined by $C = e^i \otimes e_i + e_i \otimes e^i$. Of course, the same algebra results if we express v in terms of the dual phase space variables χ_i and π^i according to

$$v = (\partial_1 \chi_i + c^k_{ij} \pi^j \chi_k) e^i + \pi^i e_i \,. \tag{5.19}$$

The equivalence class results after moding out the H gauge transformations generated by (5.2), i.e.

$$< \hat{e}_\alpha | v(x) >\approx 0 \,. \tag{5.20}$$

In terms of the currents, the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathtt{H}(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int dx < \kappa \mathtt{g}_{ab} e^a \otimes e^b + \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathtt{g}^{ab} e_a \otimes e_b \mid v(x) \otimes v(x) > , \tag{5.21}$$

and it is preserved under such transformations.

6 Lie-Poisson Generalizations

The kind of duality we have been studying so far can be regarded as traditional nonabelian T-duality. It has also been referred to as semiabelian duality. This is because while the fields of the primary theory take values in a nonabelian group, the fields in the dual theory are associated with an N dimensional Abelian group, which we shall denote by $\tilde{G}$. It is the group generated by e^i, $i = 1, 2, ...N$. One may then ask whether or not it is possible to generalize our formalism to the case of nonabelian $\tilde{G}$. The answer is yes, and we claim that there is a natural generalization of the Lagrangian (4.9) to one written on $T\tilde{G}$, where the function $\tilde{f}$ appearing in the dual metric and antisymmetric tensor becomes a nonlinear function of the fields. *

6.1 Generalizing the Current Algebra

The natural choice for $\tilde{G}$ is that it be dual of G in the Lie-Poisson sense. This means that, G and $\tilde{G}$ are maximally isotropic subgroups of a group G_D known as the Drinfeld double.[10] Then $dim[G] = dim[\tilde{G}] = \frac{1}{2}dim[G_D]$. G_D is generated by all of e_i and e^i. In the case where e^i and e_i satisfy commutation relations (2.1) and (5.16), G_D is T^*G. More generally, if we denote by c^{ij}_k the structure constants of $\tilde{G}$, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} [e^i, e_j] &= c^i_{jk} e^k - c^{ik}_j e_k \\ [e^i, e^j] &= c^{ij}_k e^k \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

along with (2.1). Now in addition to the usual Jacobi identities for the Lie algebras associated with G and $\tilde{G}$, we have

$$c^k_{ij} c^{\ell m}_k = c^\ell_{ki} c^{mk}_j - c^m_{ki} c^{\ell k}_j - c^\ell_{kj} c^{mk}_i + c^m_{kj} c^{\ell k}_i \ . \tag{6.2}$$

We next ask, given the above generalization of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_D$ spanned by e^i and e_i, whether or not the Hamiltonian dynamics of the previous section can still be utilized to describe G/H coset models. More specifically, using the current algebra (5.18), which now is the Kac-Moody algebra associated with G_D, is the Hamiltonian (5.21) still (at least, weakly) invariant under gauge transformations generated by (5.20)? It is easy to check that this is indeed the case provided that we have the following restriction on the structure constants of $\tilde{G}$:

$$c^{bc}_{M+\alpha} = 0 \ , \tag{6.3}$$

---

[*] For another approach to this subject see refs. [4] and [5].

along with the conditions (2.4) and (2.3). This then means that, in addition to G having an $N-M$ dimensional subgroup H, $\tilde{G}$ must have an M dimensional subgroup, which we denote by $\tilde{H}$. The latter is generated by e^a, $a = 1, 2, ...M$.

An example of a Drinfeld group G_D with this kind of structure for the maximally isotropic subgroups is the Lorentz group or $SL(2,C)$. There we can take $G = SU(2)$ and $\tilde{G} = SB(2,C)$, the Borel group. The structure constants for the former are $c^k_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}$, and for the latter are $c^{ij}_k = \epsilon_{ij\ell}\epsilon_{\ell k3}$. e_3 generates a $H = U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)$, while e^1 and e^2 generate a two dimensional Abelian subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of $SB(2,C)$. Since $SU(2)/U(1)$ is the target space for the $O(3)$ nonlinear σ-model, we can thus expect to find another dual description of this model, this time in terms of fields spanning $SB(2,C)$. As we shall see below, the primary Lagrangian then corresponds to (2.8).

More generally, instead of restricting to the dynamics resulting from the Hamiltonian (5.21), we could consider an arbitrary quadratic Hamiltonian as is done in [6], i.e.

$$\mathtt{H}(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int dx < \Phi_{ij} e^i \otimes e^j + \Gamma^{ij} e_i \otimes e_j + 2\Theta_i{}^j e^i \otimes e_j |\; v(x) \otimes v(x) > , \tag{6.4}$$

where Φ_{ij}, Γ^{ij} and $\Theta_i{}^j$ are constant matrices (the first two being symmetric). We must impose a number of conditions on the constant matrices in order to have a local H invariance. They are:

$$\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{M+\alpha\, i} = \Theta_{M+\alpha}{}^b = \Phi_{bj} c^b_{k\; M+\alpha} + \Phi_{bk} c^b_{j\; M+\alpha} = 0 \\
\Phi_{cj} c^{bc}_{M+\alpha} - \Theta_c{}^b c^c_{j\; M+\alpha} + \Theta_j{}^c c^b_{c\; M+\alpha} = 0 \\
\Gamma^{ib} c^c_{i\; M+\alpha} + \Theta_a{}^b c^{ca}_{M+\alpha} + \Gamma^{ic} c^b_{i\; M+\alpha} + \Theta_a{}^c c^{ba}_{M+\alpha} = 0\ .
\end{gathered} \tag{6.5}$$

We will not examine this more general possibility below, but rather restrict to Hamiltonian dynamics given by (5.21).

6.2 Primary Formulation

Even though G_D is no longer restricted to being T^*G, the current algebra (5.18) can still be realized in terms of fields valued in T^*G, i.e. $g(x)$ and $K_i(x)$, satisfying (5.1).[6], [9] Now, however, for nonzero c^{ij}_k the expression (5.17) for the currents v in terms of $g(x)$ and $K_i(x)$ must be modified[†]:

$$v = K_i e^i + f(g)^{ij} K_j e_i + g^{-1}\partial_1 g\ , \tag{6.6}$$

where the matrix valued function $f(g)$ is defined by

$$f(g)^{ij} =< g e^i g^{-1} \otimes g e^j g^{-1} | e^k \otimes e_k > \ . \tag{6.7}$$

[†] Alternatively, we can write $v(x)$ in terms of left generators on G, as is done in [6].

$f(g)$ is antisymmetric. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} f(g)^{ij} + f(g)^{ji} = < g e^i g^{-1} \otimes g e^j g^{-1} | C > &= < e^i \otimes e^j | \underset{1}{g}^{-1} \underset{2}{g}^{-1} C \underset{1}{g} \underset{2}{g} > \\ &= < e^i \otimes e^j | C > = 0 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

The semiabelian case discussed in the previous section corresponds to setting $f = 0$. To verify that the Poisson algebra (5.18) applies even when $f \neq 0$, we can first compute the Poisson bracket of K_i with $f(g)^{jk}$:

$$\{K_i(x), f(g)^{jk}(y)\} = \Big(-c_i^{jk} + c_{i\ell}^{j} f(g)^{\ell k}(y) - c_{i\ell}^{k} f(g)^{\ell j}(y) \Big) \delta(x-y) \; . \tag{6.9}$$

Eq. (5.18) then follows after using the identity

$$c_\ell^{[ij} f^{k]\ell} = f^{\ell[i} c_{\ell m}^{j} f^{k]m} \; , \tag{6.10}$$

the brackets indicating antisymmetrized indices. This identity can be proved from the result that the scalar product of the commutator of two $\tilde{G}$ generators, which can be expressed according to

$$e^i = f(g)^{ij} e_j + < g e^i g^{-1} | e_j > g^{-1} e^j g \; ,$$

with a third $\tilde{G}$ generator is zero.

Writing the Hamiltonian (5.21) in terms of g and K_i, we now get

$$\mathtt{H}(g, K^i) = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \bigg(\kappa \mathsf{g}_{ab} \, [(g^{-1}\partial_1 g)^a + f(g)^{ac} K_c] \, [(g^{-1}\partial_1 g)^b + f(g)^{bd} K_d] + \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathsf{g}^{ab} K_a K_b \bigg) \; , \tag{6.11}$$

generalizing (5.3). The constraints are still given by (5.2). The corresponding Lagrangian is (2.8), where the metric γ and antisymmetric tensor ρ are

$$\kappa\gamma = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \underline{\mathsf{g}}^{-1} - \kappa \underline{f \mathsf{g} f} \right)^{-1} \; , \qquad \rho = -\kappa \underline{\mathsf{g} f} \gamma \; . \tag{6.12}$$

As before the underline indicates that we are restricting to the submatrix with elements in G/H. Since, provided (6.3) holds, the Hamiltonian dynamics is invariant under H gauge transformations, so is the Lagrangian dynamics. It can be checked that the solution (6.12) for the metric γ and the antisymmetric tensor ρ is consistent with the gauge transformation property (2.9). Thus, by construction, (2.8) is invariant under (2.6). On the other hand, it is no longer invariant under global G transformations (2.7) (except, of course, when $f = 0$). In the semiabelian case, i.e. $f = 0$, we easily recover the Lagrangian (2.5) from (2.8), since when f is zero, so is ρ, while γ reduces to the constant metric $\underline{\mathsf{g}}$.

6.3 Dual Formulation

Concerning the dual Hamiltonian formulation, we introduce phase space variables $\tilde{g}(x) \in \tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{K}^i(x)$ with values in $T^*\tilde{G}$. The nonzero Poisson brackets are

$$\{\tilde{K}^i(x), \tilde{g}(y)\} = -\tilde{g}(x) e^i \delta(x-y)$$

$$\{\tilde{K}^i(x),\tilde{K}^j(y)\} \;=\; -c^{ij}_k\tilde{K}^k(x)\delta(x-y)\;. \tag{6.13}$$

Just as the current algebra (5.18) can be realized in terms of fields valued in T^*G, so can it be realized in terms of fields valued in $T^*\tilde{G}$. In analogy to (6.6), we have

$$v=\tilde{K}^ie_i+\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ij}\tilde{K}^je^i+\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_1\tilde{g}\;, \tag{6.14}$$

where we replace the previous definition of $\tilde{f}$ given in (4.13) by

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ij}=<\tilde{g}e_i\tilde{g}^{-1}\otimes\tilde{g}e_j\tilde{g}^{-1}|e_k\otimes e^k>\;\;. \tag{6.15}$$

To recover the previous definition, we can write $\tilde{g}=\exp(\chi_ie^i)$ and set $c^{ij}_k=0$. Then $\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ij}=c^k_{ij}\chi_k$. Returning to the general case, upon comparing with (6.6), we see that the duality transformation is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_i \;&\rightarrow\; (\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_1\tilde{g})_i+\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ij}\tilde{K}^j & (6.16)\\ (g^{-1}\partial_1g)^i+f^{ij}(g)K_j \;&\rightarrow\; \tilde{K}_i\;, & (6.17)\end{aligned}$$

generalizing the canonical transformations (5.14) and (5.15) of the semiabelian case. As is also true in the semiabelian case, the canonical transformation between phase space coordinates, here (g,K_i) and $(\tilde{g},\tilde{K}^i)$, can only be defined locally.

Writing the Hamiltonian (5.21) in terms of the $T^*\tilde{G}$ variables, we now get

$$\tilde{\mathtt{H}}(\tilde{g},\tilde{K}_i)=\frac{1}{2}\int dx\biggl(\kappa\mathsf{g}_{ab}\tilde{K}^a\tilde{K}^b+\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathsf{g}^{ab}\;[(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_1\tilde{g})_a+\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ai}\tilde{K}^i]\;[(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_1\tilde{g})_b+\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{bj}\tilde{K}^j]\biggr)\;, \tag{6.18}$$

while the constraints are

$$\phi_\alpha=(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_1\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha}+\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha\;i}\tilde{K}^i\approx0\;. \tag{6.19}$$

From (6.18) and (6.19) we easily recover the Hamiltonian (5.10) and constraints (5.12) for the dual system in the semiabelian case.

As gauge transformations are generated by the constraints (6.19), we can now see how the gauge group H acts on the dual group $\tilde{G}$, and its corresponding Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. (Note that, in general, H is not a subgroup of $\tilde{G}$.) These transformations are *nonlinear*. Infinitesimal variations $\delta\tilde{g}$ of $\tilde{g}\in\tilde{G}$ are of the form:

$$\delta\tilde{g}=\lambda^\alpha\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha\;i}\;\tilde{g}e^i=\tilde{g}\lambda-\;<\lambda|\tilde{g}^{-1}e^i\tilde{g}>e_i\tilde{g}\;, \tag{6.20}$$

where $\lambda=\lambda^\alpha\hat{e}_\alpha$ are infinitesimal elements of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}$ of H. (6.20) gives the Lie-Poisson generalization of (4.6) and (4.7). For the purpose of writing down the corresponding dual Lagrangian, we introduce the 'covariant derivative' D on $\tilde{G}$. For this we first note that as a result of (6.20), left invariant one forms on $\tilde{G}$ undergo the following gauge variations:

$$\delta(\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})_i=d\lambda^\alpha\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha\;i}+\lambda^\alpha\Bigl(c^j_{M+\alpha\;i}-c^{jk}_{M+\alpha}\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ki}\Bigr)(\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})_j\;. \tag{6.21}$$

Let us now define the covariant derivative for left invariant one forms according to:

$$(\tilde{g}^{-1}D\tilde{g})_i = (\tilde{g}^{-1}d\tilde{g})_i + \tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{i\;M+\alpha}A^\alpha \;, \tag{6.22}$$

A^α being an H connection one form. Then using (4.8), (6.20) and (6.21), it undergoes the gauge variations

$$\delta(\tilde{g}^{-1}D\tilde{g})_i = \lambda^\alpha\Big(c^{\;j}_{M+\alpha\;i} - c^{jk}_{M+\alpha}\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{ki}\Big)(\tilde{g}^{-1}D\tilde{g})_j \;. \tag{6.23}$$

For this we also needed the 'dual' of the identity (6.10), i.e.

$$c^{\ell}_{[ij}\tilde{f}_{k]\ell} = \tilde{f}_{\ell[i}c^{\ell m}_{j}\tilde{f}_{k]m} \;. \tag{6.24}$$

We can use (6.23) to define 'covariant' vectors on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. In other words, a 'covariant' vector $w = w_i e^i$ having values in the Lie algebra of $\tilde{G}$ undergoes H variations of the form

$$\delta w = [w,\lambda] - \;<[w,\lambda]|\tilde{g}^{-1}e^i\tilde{g}>\tilde{g}^{-1}e_i\tilde{g} \;. \tag{6.25}$$

The second term introduces a nonlinear contribution to the transformation. It vanishes in the semiabelian case, and there we recover the usual adjoint action. (6.25) agrees with (6.23) for $w = \tilde{g}^{-1}D\tilde{g}$. Moreover, if $x = x^\alpha\hat{e}_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ gauge transforms under the adjoint action of H, i.e. $\delta x = [x,\lambda]$, then $w = \tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{i\;M+\alpha}x^\alpha e^i$ is a covariant vector in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

We now claim that the dual Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L} \;=\; & -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{ab}(\tilde{g})\;(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_\mu\tilde{g})_a(\tilde{g}^{-1}D^\mu\tilde{g})_b \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\beta^{ab}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_\mu\tilde{g})_a(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_\nu\tilde{g})_b \\ & +\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}^{-1}\partial_\mu\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha}A^\alpha_\nu \;-\; \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha\;M+\beta}A^\alpha_\mu A^\beta_\nu \;.\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

This is a straightforward generalization of (4.9). The first line gives the coupling to the target metric and antisymmetric tensor, while the second line generalizes of the topological term $-\theta_\alpha F^\alpha$ appearing in (4.9). Now, in general, the latter is not separately gauge invariant (not even up to a total divergence). Rather, the gauge symmetry is hidden, and follows only after considering all terms in the action.

To check that the expression (6.26) is correct, and also to determine the functional form for the dual metric $\alpha^{ab}(\tilde{g})$ and antisymmetric tensor $\beta^{ab}(\tilde{g})$, we apply the Dirac procedure to recover the above Hamiltonian description. The analysis is essentially the same as in the semiabelian case. Following [12], let us parametrize the $\tilde{G}$ group elements by N variables, which we denote by ξ^i; $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}(\xi^i)$. The momenta π_i conjugate to these variables are then

$$\pi^i = (\tilde{g}^{-1}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\xi_i})_j\,\Big(\delta^j_a\;\alpha^{ab}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_0\tilde{g})_b \;-\; \delta^j_a\;\beta^{ab}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_1\tilde{g})_b \;+\; \delta^j_{M+\alpha}A^\alpha_1\Big) \;. \tag{6.27}$$

Right generators $\tilde{K}^i$ are obtained after introducing matrices $N^i{}_j(\tilde{g})$, defined by

$$e^i = N^i{}_j(\tilde{g})\;\tilde{g}^{-1}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}}{\partial\xi_j} \;, \tag{6.28}$$

and writing

$$\tilde{K}^i = N^i{}_j(\tilde{g})\pi^j \ . \tag{6.29}$$

As a result, we get that $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{K}^i$ satisfy Poisson brackets (6.13). From (6.27),

$$\tilde{K}^a = \alpha^{ab}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_0\tilde{g})_b \; - \; \beta^{ab}(\tilde{g})(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_1\tilde{g})_b \ , \tag{6.30}$$

and in analogy to (5.4), we get the primary constraints

$$\tilde{K}^{M+\alpha} - A_1^\alpha \approx 0 \ . \tag{6.31}$$

Also, as in the semiabelian case, there are the additional primary constraints (5.5) stating that the momenta Π_α^μ conjugate to A_μ^α vanish. The secondary, or Gauss law, constraints (5.6) now become

$$(\tilde{g}D_1\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha} + \tilde{f}(\tilde{g})_{M+\alpha\;b}\tilde{K}^b \approx 0 \ , \tag{6.32}$$

while the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\tilde{\mathtt{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\int dx\; \alpha^{ab}(\tilde{g})\; \Big((\tilde{g}^{-1}D_0\tilde{g})_a(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_0\tilde{g})_b + (\tilde{g}^{-1}D_1\tilde{g})_a(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_1\tilde{g})_b \Big) \tag{6.33}$$

We can use (6.30) to reexpress $(\tilde{g}^{-1}D_0\tilde{g})_a$ in $\tilde{\mathtt{H}}$ in terms of phase space coordinates.

Now let us proceed with the constraint analysis. As in the semiabelian case, A_0^α are nondynamical, and thus they may be eliminated and Π_α^0 may be strongly set equal to zero. The constraints (6.31), along with $\Pi_\alpha^1 \approx 0$, form a second class set, and they are eliminated by going to the constrained surface. For this we introduce Dirac variables K^{*i} and g^*,

$$\begin{aligned} K^{*i} &= \tilde{K}^i - c_j^{\alpha i}\tilde{K}^j\Pi_\alpha^1 \\ g^* &= \tilde{g}\;\varrho(\Pi^1) \ , \qquad \frac{\partial\varrho}{\partial\Pi_\alpha^1}\varrho^{-1} = -e^\alpha \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

where $\varrho(\Pi^1)$ takes values in $\tilde{G}$ and we assume it to be weakly equal to the identity element. It is easily checked that these variables have (weakly) zero Poisson brackets with (6.31) and $\Pi_\alpha^1 \approx 0$. Moreover, the algebra of Dirac variables is identical to that of $\tilde{K}^i$ and $\tilde{g}$. Thus the cotangent bundle algebra is preserved upon projecting onto the constraint surface, and we recover (6.13). Finally, we note that the remaining set of constraints (6.32) agree with (6.19) upon using (6.31). The Hamiltonian (6.33) is equivalent to (6.18) provided that the dual metric α and antisymmetric tensor β are once again given by the expressions (4.14). Now, of course, $\tilde{f}$ is, in general, not a linear function of the scalar fields, but rather is given by (6.15). We note that the identity (4.15) still holds in this case.

To summarize, the solution for the metric $\kappa\gamma$ and antisymmetric tensor $\kappa\rho$ (6.12) in the primary Lagrangian are expressed in terms of the functions $f(g)$, while their dual counterparts α and β (4.14) expressed in terms of $\tilde{f}(\tilde{g})$. By comparing these expressions, we see that a duality transformation corresponds to

$$\kappa\mathtt{g} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathtt{g}^{-1} \ , \qquad f(g) \leftrightarrow \tilde{f}(\tilde{g}) \ . \tag{6.35}$$

The duality transformation for coset theories is, however, more involved then this, since it also requires replacing the ordinary derivatives appearing in the primary Lagrangian with covariant derivatives (6.22) in the dual Lagrangian, as well as, including a topological term. The Lagrangian (2.8) and its dual (6.26) are valid for any pair $(G, \tilde{G})$ of isotropic subgroups of the Drinfeld double group G_D, and for any subgroup H of G, provided the restrictions (2.3), (2.4), (6.3) on the structure constants and invariant metric on G hold. Conditions (2.3) and (2.4) were necessary already in the semiabelian case. Condition (6.3) implied that $\tilde{G}$ has a subgroup $\tilde{H}$ of dimension $dim[\tilde{H}] = dim[G] - dim[H]$. It is curious that we end up with complimentary subgroups in G and $\tilde{G}$. In our formalism, however, $\tilde{H}$ plays a different role than H, since it is not gauged. Of course, provided that there is a metric on $\tilde{G}$ which is invertible and block diagonal, we can reverse the roles of the two subgroups, gauging $\tilde{H}$ and not H. Then the gauge group would have a linear action on $\tilde{G}$, and a nonlinear one on G. A more intriguing possibility would be if we gauged part (i.e. a subgroup) of H and part of $\tilde{H}$. Then the primary and dual Lagrangians would have both a linear and nonlinear realization of the full gauge symmetry.

REFERENCES

[1] X. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993) 377; E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé, J.L.F. Barbón and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B415** (1994) 71; E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé, and Y. Lozano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B424** (1994) 155; A. Giveon and M. Rocek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B421** (1994) 173;S. Elitzur, A. Giveon, E. Rabinovici, A. Schwimmer and G. Veneziano, *Nucl. Phys.* **B435** (1995) 147; S.E. Hjelmeland and U. Lindström, hep-th\9705122.

[2] E. B. Kiritsis, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2871; H.-L. Hu, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 1761; K. Sfetsos, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 2798.

[3] Y.S. Wu, *Phys. Lett.* **B153** (1985) 70; W. Nahm, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987) 579; K. Bardakci, E. Rabinowici and B. Säring, *Nucl. Phys.* **B299** (1988) 157; D. Altschuler, K. Bardakci and E. Rabinowici, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988) 241; K. Gawedzki and A. Kupiainen, *Phys. Lett.* **B215** (1988) 119; *Nucl. Phys.* **B320** (1989) 625; D. Karabali, Q.-H. Park, H.J. Schnitzer and Z. Yang, *Phys. Lett.* **B216** (1989) 307; H.J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B324** (1989) 412; D. Karabali and H.J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B329** (1990) 649.

[4] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, *Phys. Lett.* **B381** (1996) 56.

[5] K. Sfetsos, "Poisson-Lie T-duality beyond the classical level and the renormalization group", CERN preprint CERN-TH/98-44, hep-th/9803019.

[6] A. Stern, hep-th\9811256, to appear in *Phys. Lett.***B**.

[7] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 455, C. Klimcik, *Nucl. Phys.(Proc. Suppl.)* **B46** (1996) 116; C. Klimcik and P. Severa, *Phys. Lett.* **B372** (1996) 65; *Phys.*

Lett. **B376** (1996) 82; *Phys. Lett.* **B383** (1996) 281; E. Tyurin, R. von Unge, *Phys. Lett.* **B382** (1996) 233; A. Yu. Alekseev, C. Klimcik and A.A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B458** (1996) 430.

[8] L. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, *Nature* **387** (1997) 58; J. Gladikowski and M. Hellmund, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 5194. R. Battye and P. Sutcliffe, hep-th\9808129; hep-th\9811077; J. Hiertarinta and P. Salo, hep-th\9811053; T.R. Govindarajan, hep-th\9811171; A.J. Niemi, hep-th\9902140.

[9] K. Sfetsos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B517** (1998) 549; *Nucl. Phys.(Proc. Suppl.)* **B56** (1997) 302.

[10] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, in Proc. ICM, MSRI, Berkeley, 1986, p. 708.

[11] A. P. Balachandran, A. Stern and G. Trahern, *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 2416.

[12] A. P. Balachandran, G. Marmo, B.S. Skagerstam and A. Stern, Classical Topology and Quantum States (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[13] K. Kikkawa and M. Yamasaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 357; N. Sakai and I. Senda, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **75** (1986) 692; V.P. Nair, A. Shapere, A. Strominger and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B287** (1987) 402; B. Sathiapalan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** (1987) 1597; T.H. Buscher, *Phys. Lett.* **B194** (1987) 51; *Phys. Lett.* **B201** (1988) 466.

[14] Y. Lozano, *Phys. Lett.* **B355** (1995) 165.